IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

ERNEST RILEY

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CASE NO. 3:19-cv-206 DPJ-FKB

STEAMER'S SHRIMP AND CRAB MARKET and ANTONIO POPE, INDIVIDUALLY

DEFENDANTS

VERIFIED COMPLAINT and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Ernest Riley (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), files this action against Defendants, Steamer's Shrimp and Crab Market and Antonio Pope, Individually (hereinafter "Defendants") for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, declaratory relief and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §216(b) (the "FLSA").

NATURE OF SUIT

1. The FLSA was passed by Congress in 1938. The principal Congressional purpose in enacting the FLSA was to protect all covered workers from substandard wages and oppressive working hours, labor conditions that are detrimental to maintenance of minimum standards of living necessary for health, efficiency, and general well-being of workers. Barrentine v. Arkansas-Best Freight System, Inc., 450 U.S. 728, 739, 101 S.Ct. 1437, 1444 (1981). The liquidated damage provision of the FLSA constitutes a Congressional recognition that failure to pay statutory minimum wage on time may be so detrimental to maintenance of minimum standard of living necessary for health, efficiency, and general well-being of workers and to the free flow of commerce, that double payment must be made in event of delay in order to insure restoration of worker to that minimum

standard of well-being. *Brooklyn v. Sav. Bank v. O'Neil*, 324 U.S. 697, 707-08, 65 S.Ct. 895, 902 (1945).

2. This action is brought by Plaintiff under the FLSA to recover unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs from Defendants.

JURISDICTION

- 3. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the FLSA, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq. to recover unpaid overtime compensation, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 4. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.
- 5. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is proper pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1331, as Plaintiff's claims arise under 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

VENUE

- 6. Venue lies properly within this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)(1) and (c)(2), because the State of Mississippi has personal jurisdiction over Defendants and Defendants therefore "reside" in Mississippi.
- 7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 because Plaintiff either worked for Defendants within this district during the relevant time period subject to this Complaint and/or Defendants maintained a business operation within this district.

8. Since a substantial part of the acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect against Plaintiff within this jurisdiction; venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

PARTIES

- 9. At all material times hereto, Defendant, Steamer's Shrimp and Crab Market is a restaurant owned and operated by Antonio Pope who conducts business in Jackson (Hinds County), Mississippi.
- Defendant, Antonio Pope, is an individual who, upon information and belief,
 lives and resides in Jackson (Hinds County), Mississippi.
- 11. At all times relevant to this action, Antonio Pope is an individual resident of the State of Mississippi, who owns, operates and conducts business on behalf of Steamers Shrimp and Crab Market and who regularly exercises the authority to: (a) hire and fire employees of Steamers Shrimp and Crab Market; (b) determines the work schedules for the employees of Steamers Shrimp and Crab Market; and (c) controls the finances and operations of Steamers Shrimp and Crab Market; and, by virtue of having regularly exercised that authority on behalf of Steamers Shrimp and Crab Market, Antonio Pope is an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq.
- At all material times hereto, Plaintiff was, is and continues to be a resident of Jackson (Hinds County), Mississippi.
- 13. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants who worked as a manager opening and closing the restaurant, gathering and updating supplies and inventory, cooking and cashing out the customers as needed for Defendants in Jackson, (Hinds County), Mississippi.

3

COVERAGE

- 14. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), Plaintiff was an "employee" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), Defendants were the "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 16. Based upon information and belief, Defendants earned gross revenues of at least Five Hundred Thousand and 0/100 dollars (\$500,000.00) per annum at all material times hereto.
- 17. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), Plaintiff was "engaged in commerce" within the meaning of §6 and §7 of the FLSA.
- 18. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), Defendants were, are, and continue to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 19. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), Defendants were an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s).
- 20. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), Defendants employed two (2) or more employees engaged in interstate commerce in that said enterprise has had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person such as office supplies, building maintenance supplies, tools and equipment, etc.
- 21. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), Plaintiff was "engaged in commerce" and subject to individual coverage under the FLSA in that she:
 - a) Operated instrumentalities of commerce;
 - b) Transported goods in commerce;

- c) Used channels of commerce;
- d) Communicated across state lines; and/or
- e) Performed work essential to any of the preceding activities.
- 22. Defendants and their officers and agencies are responsible under the United States Constitution, federal law and regulations for the establishment and administration of personnel, employment and compensation policies and practices and for applying to Plaintiff the provisions of the FLSA and other applicable federal pay and compensation statutes and regulations.
- 23. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the operations performed by Defendants.
- 24. At all material times hereto (2016-2019), Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§201-209, because Plaintiff performed services for Defendants for which no provisions were made by Defendants to properly pay Plaintiff for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 25. Upon information and belief, a complete set of records, to the extent that any exist, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and amounts paid to Plaintiff, are in the possession, custody and control of Defendants.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 26. Defendant, Steamer's Shrimp and Crab Market, is a restaurant owned by Defendant, Antonio Pope located at 2530 Robinson Road in Jackson (Hinds County), Mississippi.
 - 27. Plaintiff, Ernest Riley, worked as a manager for Defendants.

- Plaintiff worked in this capacity from approximately August 8, 2017 through
 August 19, 2018.
- Defendants misclassified Plaintiff as exempt and paid him straight time for all hours worked.
 - 30. Plaintiff was paid \$10.00 per hour in exchange for work performed.
- 31. Plaintiff's job duties included such services as cooking, assisting customers and opening and closing the store for Defendants.
 - 32. Plaintiff's job duties classify him as being a non-exempt employee.
 - 33. Plaintiff typically worked over forty (40) hours per week.
 - 34. Plaintiff averaged sixty-five (65) hours each week.
 - 35. Plaintiff worked approximately twenty-five (25) hours of overtime each week.
- 36. Defendants maintained a policy of paying Plaintiff straight time for all hours worked every week.
- 37. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff proper overtime compensation at a rate of time-and-one-half his regular rate of pay for any hours worked over forty (40) in a week.

COUNT I - RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 38. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-37 above.
- 39. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid time-and-one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week.
 - 40. Plaintiff worked over forty (40) hours per work week.
- 41. Despite working more than forty (40) hours in any given week Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff proper overtime compensation at a rate of time-and-one-half his

6

regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40).

- 42. In addition, Defendants did not maintain and keep accurate time records for Plaintiff as required by the FLSA.
- 43. Also, Defendants failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 44. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time-and-one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week, Plaintiff has suffered damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 45. As a result of Defendants' willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.
- 46. Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for the payment of all overtime hours at one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by his for which the Defendants did not properly compensate him, liquidated damages, or if liquidated damages are not awarded then prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.
 - 47. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, Ernest Riley, respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendants, Steamer's Shrimp and Crab Market and Antonio Pope, Individually:

 Declaring, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour provisions of the FLSA;

- b) Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to him for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e) Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f) Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: March 27, 2019.

Respectfully submitted, ERNEST RILEY, PLAINTIFF

/s/ Christopher E. Espy

CHRISTOPHER W. ESPY (MSB#: 102424) MORGAN & MORGAN, PLLC 4450 Old Canton Road, Suite 200 Jackson, Mississippi 39211

Tel: 601-718-2087 Fax: 601-718-2102

Email: cespy@forthepeople.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

COUNT	Y: Hinds	
STATE:	Mississippi	

I, ERNEST RILEY, declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the factual allegations in this Complaint are true and correct based on my personal knowledge.

DATE: 3/25/19

ERNEST RILEY, PLAINTIFE